
EARLY Dispositions Practicum 1

This is to be used as a rating scale for the first field experience pre-admit to EDU programs

This is to be used as a rating scale for the first held experience pre-admit to LDO programs
1.0 Completion of
Assignments: Candidate
successfully completes tasks
by deadlines
No Description
■ 1.0 Unacceptable
No Description
Needs Improvement
No Description
■ 3.0 Meets Standard
No Description
■ 0.0 Not Able to Assess
No Description
1.0 Punctuality -
Candidate arrives punctually
No Description
■ 1.0 Unacceptable
No Description
■ 20 Needs Improvement
No Description
■ 3.0 Meets Standard
No Description

Not Able to Assess	
No Description	
1.0 Prepared -	
Candidate is consistently	
prepared	
No Description	
■ 1.0 Unacceptable	
No Description	
Needs Improvement	
No Description	
■ 3.0 Meets Standard	
No Description	
Not Able to Assess	
No Description	
1.0 Attendance -	
Candidate attends required	
hours as scheduled	
No Description	
• 1.0 Unacceptable	
No Description	
Needs Improvement	
No Description	
Meets Standard	
No Description	
Not Able to Assess	
No Description	



1.0 Appearance -
Candidate follows dress code
by keeping a well-kept
appearance
No Description
 Indicate a second of the second
No Description No Description No Description
1.0 Cooperation - Candidate engages collegially



■ 1.0 Unacceptable

No Description

• 2.0 Needs Improvement

No Description

• 3.0 Meets Standard

Not Able to Assess
No Description
1.0 Appearance of Work
Area - Candidate keeps work
area neat/orderly
No Description
Unaccontable
• 1.0 Unacceptable
No Description
Needs Improvement
No Description
Meets Standard
No Description
■ 0.0 Not Able to Assess
No Description
1.0
shows optimism in all
settings/times
No Description
Linggeontable
Unacceptable Mc Bassisting
No Description
Needs Improvement
No Description
Meets Standard
No Description
■ 0.0 Not Able to Assess
No Description

1.0 Respectfulness -
Candidate is polite in all
dealings with others
No Description
• 1.0 Unacceptable
No Description
Needs Improvement
No Description
■ 3.0 Meets Standard
No Description
■ On Not Able to Assess
Not Able to Assess No Description
1.0 Accepts Criticism - Candidate accepts
responsibility for actions by
applying suggested changes
to future work
No Description
No Description
■ 1.0 Unacceptable
No Description
Needs Improvement
No Description
Mosto Ctandond
No Description
■ 0.0 Not Able to Assess

1.0
Candidate has capacity to
respond to changing
situations/expectations
No Description
Unacceptable No Description
No Description No Description
Meets Standard No Description
No Description
1.0 Policies &
Procedures - Candidate
follows organization's
policies/procedures
consistently
No Description
■ 1.0 Unacceptable No Description
• 2.0 Needs Improvement No Description
Meets Standard

Not Able to Assess
No Description
1.0 Respect - Candidate
treats others with respect at
all times
No Description
■ 10 Unacceptable
No Description
No ode Immerciani
No Description
Meets Standard
No Description
Not Able to Assess
No Description
1.0 Willingness to
Develop Skills - Candidate is
willing to take on challenges
to learn new techniques
No Description
■ 1.0 Unacceptable
No Description
Needs Improvement
No Description
Ma eta Ctan dand
No Description
1.10 2000/1/1001

Not Able to Assess
No Description
1.0
- Candidate has capacity to
use time effectively by
staying on track/focused
No Description
Unacceptable No Description
No Description No Description
• 3.0 Meets Standard No Description
No Description
1.0 Confidentiality -
Candidate does not discuss
internal events with
coworkers or peers
No Description
• 1.0 Unacceptable No Description
No Description
Meets Standard

Not Able to Assess
No Description
1.0
- Candidate's
articulation/intonation is
appropriately engaging
No Description
■ 1.0 Unacceptable
No Description
Needs Improvement
No Description
■ 3.0 Meets Standard
No Description
Not Able to Assess
No Description
1.0
- Candidate uses
professional language*
*refers to few grammatical
errors, proper usage of
educational lingo/terminology
No Description
■ 1.0 Unacceptable

2.0 Needs Improvement

Meets Standard No Coordinates		
No Description Not Able to Asses	ss ·	
No Description		
1.0 Written		
Communication - Candidate		
clearly organizes ideas in		
written communication		
No Description		
• 1.0 Unacceptable		
No Description		
• 2.0 Needs Improvem	ent	
No Description		
Masta Otan dand		
No Description		
• 0.0 Not Able to Asses	\$S	
No Description		
1.0 Written		
Communication - Candidate		
uses professional language		
in written communication		
*refers to proper usage of		
educational terminology,		
proper grammar/punctuation	1	
No Description		

Unacceptable		
No Description		
Needs Improvement No Description		
• 3.0 Meets Standard No Description		
No Description		
1.0 Digital		
Communication - Candidate		
uses electronic means of		
communicating in a		
responsible way		
No Description		
■ 1.0 Unacceptable		
No Description		
• 2.0 Needs Improvement		
No Description		
Meets Standard		
No Description		

0.0 Not Able to Assess

1.0 Respectful Language
- Candidate uses positive
wording* when working with
others. *refers to non-
judgmental, kind tone, not
sarcastic or shaming
No Description
No Description Unacceptable

■ 3.0 Meets Standard

Needs Improvement

No Description

• 0.0 Not Able to Assess

No Description



■ 1.0 Unacceptable

No Description

2.0 Needs Improvement

No Description

■ 3.0 Meets Standard

Not Able to Assess
No Description
1.0 Quality of Work -
Candidate's work is
consistently
thorough/organized
No Description
• 10 Unacceptable
No Description
No ada Immercia
Needs Improvement
No Description
Meets Standard
No Description
Not Able to Assess
No Description
1.0 Quality of Work -
Candidate's work shows
evidence of strong
effort/initiative
No Description
Unacceptable
No Description
Needs Improvement
No Description
Meets Standard

■ 0.0 Not Able to Assess	
No Description	
1.0 Student Interaction -	
Candidate ensures high	
quality engagement	
No Description	
■ 1.0 Unacceptable	
No Description	
Needs Improvement	
No Description	
Meets Standard	
No Description	
Not Able to Assess	
No Description	
1.0 Student Interaction -	
Candidate respects others'	
dignity/confidentiality	
No Description	
■ 1.0 Unacceptable	
No Description	
Needs Improvement	
No Description	
Meets Standard	
No Description	
Not Able to Assess	
No Description	

Not Able to Assess

Outcomes Linked to Criteria

Criterion: Completion of Assignments: Candidate successfully completes tasks by deadlines No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Punctuality - Candidate arrives punctually

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Prepared - Candidate is consistently prepared

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Attendance - Candidate attends required hours as scheduled

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Accountability - Candidate follows through on all assigned tasks

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Safety - Canddiate contributes to a safe/secure environment by following established procedures

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Appearance - Candidate follows dress code by keeping a well-kept appearance No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Cooperation - Candidate engages collegially with others* *others refers to peers, collaborators, administrators, etc.

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Appearance of Work Area - Candidate keeps work area neat/orderly

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Attitude - Candidate shows optimism in all settings/times

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Respectfulness - Candidate is polite in all dealings with others

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Accepts Criticism - Candidate accepts responsibility for actions by applying suggested changes to future work

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Flexibility - Candidate has capacity to respond to changing situations/expectations No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Policies & Procedures - Candidate follows organization's policies/procedures consistently

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Respect - Candidate treats others with respect at all times

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Willingness to Develop Skills - Candidate is willing to take on challenges to learn new techniques

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Organizational Skills - Candidate has capacity to use time effectively by staying on track/focused

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Confidentiality - Candidate does not discuss internal events with coworkers or peers No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Oral Communication - Candidate's articulation/intonation is appropriately engaging

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Oral Communication - Candidate uses professional language* *refers to few grammatical errors, proper usage of educational lingo/terminology

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Written Communication - Candidate clearly organizes ideas in written communication No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Written Communication - Candidate uses professional language* in written communication *refers to proper usage of educational terminology, proper grammar/punctuation No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Digital Communication - Candidate uses electronic means of communicating in a responsible way

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Respectful Language - Candidate uses positive wording* when working with others. *refers to non-judgmental, kind tone, not sarcastic or shaming

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Respectful Dialogue - Candidate asks appropriate questions to seek clarification when needed

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Quality of Work - Candidate's work is consistently thorough/organized No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Quality of Work - Candidate's work shows evidence of strong effort/initiative No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Student Interaction - Candidate ensures high quality engagement

No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Student Interaction - Candidate respects others' dignity/confidentiality No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Conflict Resolution - Candidate seeks constructive approaches to resolving issues No linked outcomes.

Criterion: Technology Usage - Candidate uses school-approved technology that promotes student learning

No linked outcomes.